

When a Homeowner Discovers a Dam

Infrastructure, Disclosure, and the Hidden Legacy of Small Dams

Qizhong (George) Guo
Professor, Civil & Environmental Engineering
Rutgers University – New Brunswick

Originally prepared on March 27, 2026; expanded on March 29 and 30, 2026.

1. Introduction

An article published this morning on NJ.com, which included comments from the author, highlighted cases in which New Jersey homeowners discovered that a dam or dam-like structure existed on their property—sometimes only after completing a home purchase. These situations can lead to unexpected responsibilities, including inspection, maintenance, and potentially significant repair costs.

The article describes cases in which homeowners discovered, sometimes only after closing, that they had acquired not only land and a residence but also responsibility for a dam. In some instances, required inspections, repairs, or potential removal could involve substantial costs. The article also discusses proposed legislation in New Jersey that would require disclosure of dams during real-estate transactions.

While these cases may appear unusual, they reflect broader characteristics of water infrastructure in the United States that are not always visible in public discussions. The purpose of this brief is to provide technical and historical context on dams, explain how they are identified and regulated, and clarify why such situations can arise.

2. Dam Inventories in the United States

The United States maintains several overlapping inventories of dams. The most widely known is the National Inventory of Dams (NID), maintained by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, which lists more than 90,000 dams nationwide.

However, the NID includes only dams that meet certain size or hazard thresholds. Smaller structures are often excluded. Researchers studying river hazards have therefore developed the Low-Head Dam Inventory to identify small dams that may pose safety risks but do not appear in regulatory databases.

When very small structures are considered, the total number of dams in the United States may exceed several hundred thousand.

3. The Case of New Jersey

New Jersey provides an instructive example of how these inventories differ.

The National Inventory of Dams lists about 830 dams in the state. However, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection regulates approximately **1,745 dams**, reflecting the inclusion of smaller structures not captured in the national inventory.

A significant portion of these dams are privately owned, including many earthen embankment dams that may not be immediately recognizable as infrastructure.

4. Historical Role and Positive Contributions of Small Dams

Small dams played an important role in American economic history. During the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, rivers powered grist mills, sawmills, textile mills, and iron works. These mills required small dams to create mill ponds and hydraulic head for water wheels.

In many towns, mill dams were the foundation of early local industry. Even after many mills disappeared, the dams remained as part of the landscape.

Mill ponds often became scenic lakes or recreational areas. Many people appreciate the small waterfalls created by low dams, which can produce visually appealing river scenes.

In this sense, historic dams are not only infrastructure but also part of cultural and landscape heritage.

5. Dam Disclosure Approaches

States have adopted different approaches to disclosure of dams in residential real-estate transactions.

Virginia follows a “buyer-beware” approach in which sellers make no representation regarding dams and buyers are advised to investigate.

Connecticut requires sellers to indicate whether a registered dam exists on the property.

Proposed legislation in New Jersey would require more detailed disclosure, including:

- whether a dam exists
- its hazard classification
- inspection history
- and any ownership responsibilities that transfer with the property

These requirements are intended to improve transparency and reduce the likelihood of unexpected obligations for homebuyers.

Beyond these disclosure approaches, an additional consideration is the distinction between dams or embankments located in upland areas (e.g., small ponds or drainage features) and those spanning significant streams. This distinction can influence decisions on maintenance, rehabilitation, or removal, as such structures may affect both upstream and downstream conditions—including fish migration, water quality, sediment transport, and flooding. It also highlights the importance of clearly defining what constitutes a “dam” in disclosure frameworks, particularly in the context of proposed legislation in New Jersey.

6. Practical Challenges

Although disclosure appears straightforward, practical challenges arise.

Many homeowners may not know whether a small embankment legally qualifies as a dam. Records for older structures may be incomplete, and responsibilities can vary depending on size, hazard classification, and jurisdiction.

In addition, some structures resemble natural landscape features—such as a pond edge or low embankment—making them difficult to identify without technical evaluation.

These factors make the first disclosure question—whether a dam exists on the property—more complex than it initially appears.

7. How an Engineer Might Visually Screen for a Dam in the Field

For a homeowner or buyer walking a property, the first step is not to determine legal classification, but to ask whether the landscape shows evidence of a **man-made embankment designed to impound and control water**.

An experienced observer would typically look for:

- **Outlet or spillway structures**
(stone-lined overflow, culvert pipe, concrete drop, trash rack, or localized waterfall)
- **A crest or top berm**
(a relatively flat or gently rounded top separating upstream water from a downstream slope)
- **A side or emergency spillway**
(a low channel or saddle allowing water to bypass the embankment)
- **Downstream indicators**
(wet areas, seepage, erosion, or scour suggesting controlled or leaking flow)
- **Man-made materials or geometry**
(riprap, concrete, pipes, or unusually straight/regular edges)

These features suggest that a pond or water body is **impounded**, rather than naturally formed.

However, visual observation alone does not determine whether a structure is legally classified as a dam.

8. Using Modern Tools to Screen for Dams and Embankments

Modern geospatial tools can complement field observations.

- **Aerial and satellite imagery** can reveal impounded water bodies and linear features across streams or valleys.
- **Digital elevation models (DEMs)**, particularly from LiDAR, can detect subtle embankments and terrain changes.
- **Machine learning, artificial intelligence (AI), and computer vision** are increasingly used to identify small dams and **low-head dams** in rivers and streams, especially for public safety purposes.

Low-head dams, sometimes referred to as “drowning machines,” are often difficult to detect visually but can pose significant hazards due to recirculating currents.

These tools are most effective for **screening large areas** and identifying candidate locations.

However, they do not replace **on-the-ground inspection**, which remains essential for confirming structure type, condition, and regulatory status.

9. Example: A Mid-20th Century Pond at the Institute for Advanced Study

The pond behind the Institute for Advanced Study (IAS) in Princeton (Figure 1) provides an illustrative example.

Historical records indicate that the pond was constructed in the **mid-1960s**, likely as part of campus development and landscape design associated with nearby academic buildings.

This interpretation is supported by aerial imagery:

- **1930s aerial photograph** → no pond present
- **1980s imagery** → pond clearly visible

This confirms that the pond is a **mid-20th century embankment structure**, rather than a century-old farm pond.

Field observations further reveal:

- a **constructed outlet structure** (Figure 2)
- a **well-defined earthen embankment and crest** (Figure 3)



Figure 1. Overall view of the IAS pond in Princeton, New Jersey. While it appears to be a natural water body, the pond is in fact formed and maintained by a man-made embankment dam and outlet structure. (Photo by the author, October 18, 2025)



Figure 2. Outlet structure of the IAS pond showing controlled discharge (photo by the author, October 18, 2025).



Figure 3. Earthen embankment (dam) of the IAS pond, showing crest and downstream slope (photo by the author, October 18, 2025).

Albert Einstein worked at the Institute from 1933 to 1955, prior to the construction of the pond. During his time, the area would have been open landscape, although he was known to walk extensively in the nearby Institute Woods.

This example illustrates how relatively recent infrastructure can become visually integrated into the landscape and may not be readily recognized as a dam.

10. Infrastructure Responsibilities and Risks

When a dam is present on a property, the primary issue is not simply its existence, but the responsibilities associated with it.

Depending on regulatory classification, owners may be required to:

- conduct periodic inspections
- maintain structural stability
- manage vegetation and drainage
- ensure safe passage of water

Failure to maintain even a small dam can lead to localized impacts such as:

- flooding of nearby roads or properties
- erosion
- damage to local infrastructure

For larger dams or those with higher hazard classifications, the consequences can be more severe.

11. Reflection

Dams represent a significant but often hidden layer of infrastructure embedded within the American landscape.

Some date back centuries, while others—like the IAS pond—were constructed more recently but have already become part of the visual and functional landscape.

As land ownership changes, these structures sometimes become part of residential properties, raising questions of responsibility, risk, and disclosure.

Understanding this layered history can help homeowners, engineers, and policymakers make more informed decisions about how to manage, maintain, or, in some cases, remove these structures.

12. Link to Article

Link to the article:

<https://www.nj.com/hunterdon/2026/03/new-nj-homeowner-nightmare-can-cost-500k-to-fix-how-to-spot-the-hidden-money-pits.html>

Final Note

This brief builds on a recent NJ.com article and provides additional technical, historical, and practical context for understanding how dams—particularly small and often overlooked embankments—can become part of modern residential properties and why their presence may not always be apparent during real-estate transactions.